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The IASB standards (IFRS) guide general purpose financial reporting (GPFR)

Most jurisdictions (166) including Sri Lanka (SL) adopted IFRS
The Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting

The objective of GPFR is to provide financial information about the reporting entity that is useful to existing and potential investors & lenders in making decisions relating to...

(a) buying, selling or holding equity and debt instruments
(b) providing or settling loans and other forms of credit

(IASB, 2018, para. 1.2)

Frequency of using annual reports

Importance of various sources of information e.g. Annual reports, Internet, Stack market publications etc.

Usefulness of the various parts of annual reports e.g. Fin. statements, MDA, Sustainability report etc.

Adequacy of information provided in annual reports

Investors and lenders require different information for decision-making

(Barth et al., 2008; Cascino et al., 2014; Ehalaiye et al., 2018; Kothari, Ramanna, & Skinner, 2010)
If financial information is to be **useful**, it must be “relevant” and “faithfully represent” what it purports to represent. (IASB, 2010, p. 16; 2018, p. A23).

Which Qualitative Characteristics (QCs) are perceived important in investment and lending decisions?
IASB indicates that meeting the objectives through the QCs Improves the quality of financial reporting

(Achim & Chiş, 2014; Agienohuwa & Ilaboya, 2018; Beest et al., 2009; G. J. M. Braam, & van Beest, F., 2013; Mbobo & Ekpo, 2016)

Has the usefulness in terms of QCs after adopting IFRS changed for better or for worse?
Approach – Survey Questionnaire

449 Responses  
54% response rate

How this survey study is different from others?

• Considers decision type, not the occupational titles or profession of users
• Designs two questionnaires to cater investors and lenders separately focusing their decision types
• Considers usefulness in terms of IASB financial reporting objective
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RQ 01</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Frequency** of using annual reports | • Approximately 2/3 of respondents use annual reports ‘frequently’ and ‘always’ in all decision roles  
*De Zoysa and Rudkin (2010) from the year 2000 data, - 48% in Sri Lanka - Unknown for what purpose* |
| **Importance** of the various sources of information | • **Investors** - Stock market publications, **Lenders** - Communication with company management  
• **Annual reports** - the second source of information for both the user groups  
• “Important” or above: 94% - investors & 89% - lenders  
*Al-Razeen and Karbhari (2007); Mirshekary and Saudagaran (2005); as well as De Zoysa and Rudkin (2010) - “annual reports” were the most important source of information for all the user groups* |
| **Usefulness** of different sections in annual reports | • Both identified “financial statements” as the most useful section in annual reports  
*Supported by- Alattar and Al-Khater (2008); Anderson (1981); Streuly (1994); Winfield (1978)*  
• 91% - Investors & 87% - lenders accepted that annual reports are “useful” or above  
• No statistically significant difference in the responses between two user groups |
| **Adequacy** of annual report information | • Annual report information is “adequate” or above: 71% - investors & 73% - lenders  
• No statistically significant difference in the responses between two user groups |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RQ 02</th>
<th>Importance of QCs are</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Both groups considered all QCs important for their decisions - “important” &gt;95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Understandability is the most important QC for both groups followed by timeliness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Supported by - Smith (1996) &amp; Al-Ajmi (2009)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No statistically significant difference in the responses between two user groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RQ 03</th>
<th>Impact of IFRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Both the user groups perceived that;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• All the <strong>QCs have been improved</strong> after adopting IFRS in Sri Lanka - “Agree” and “Strongly Agree” &gt; 70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The <strong>overall reporting quality has improved</strong> in Sri Lanka after adoption of IFRS compared to before the adoption- “Agree” and “Strongly Agree” &gt; 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Supported by - Tasios and Bekiaris (2012); Yurisandi and Puspitasari (2015) &amp; Agyei-Mensah (2013)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• No statistically significant difference in the responses between two user groups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Study concludes that:

The **usefulness** of annual reports is **not affected** by the type of decision being made, whether investment or lending in Sri Lanka...

Respondents perceived that **IFRS improved quality of reporting** through improving QCs... So, **IASB’s objective of IFRS in GPFR is achieved**...

Results **contradict with the classification of QCs** of IASB as fundamental and enhancing...
THANK YOU
What is the motivation for researching usefulness of annual reports in Sri Lanka?

• One of the major objective of adopting IFRS is to improve the quality of reporting in Sri Lanka. This study provided evidence of achieving this objective form the perception of investors and lenders in Sri Lanka.

• Adopted IFRS for all SBEs in 2012.

• Annual report size of top 50 companies has been increased by 3 times over last 10 years.

• Most developing economies, especially in South Asia, adopted IFRS with in last 10 years. Lack of studies to examine the usefulness and quality of reporting after IFRS adoption. None in Sri Lanka
What are the implications of the results/findings of the study in this manuscript for the readers outside of Sri Lanka?

• Most of the Asian economies adopted IFRS due to international donor organizations’ pressure – Coercive Pressure (Poudel et al., (2014); Nijam, (2016); ROSC, (2015)). This study provides a feedback to international donor organizations who pressurise on implementing IFRS in developing countries.

• This study provides a feedback to IASB on classification of QCIs as fundamental and enhancing.

• This study provides a feedback to IASB on the achievement of objective of financial reporting through IFRS in developing countries.