Thank you for having me here today to talk about my research. This weekend you’ll hear a lot about being active, but I’m going to talk a little about being inactive.
So what is SB and when do we do it?

- SB is <1MET
- SB in adults occurs in three phases throughout the day; during transport, occupationally and leisure time.
- SB higher in younger, educated, white collar

Prevalence:

- 50% of population median sitting time >4h/day (Bauman et al., 2011), close to 25% >6 h/day
- Very limited evidence occupational sitting time that 30% of full time employed NZ adults occupational sitting 3.5-4 h/day (Statistics New Zealand, 2015 + drawing in Mummery data)

Links:

- SB linked with increased levels of psychological disorders
- SB linked with increases in colon, rectal, ovarian and endometrial cancer often independent of PA
- What interested me is SB linked with increased BMI, waist circumference, blood pressure and decreased HDLC, increased cardiovascular events and all-cause
mortality (Mumery et al., 2005; Wijndaele et al., 2009; Bertrais et al. 2005; Stamatakis et al. 2011)
Method of measuring impacts:

- Joint Interim Statement
- A ‘crude’ measurement for a complicated spectrum of an inability to maintain homeostasis
- Exact prevalence unknown in New Zealand, estimated to be between 15 and 25%

(International Diabetes Federation, World Health Organisation, The National Heart, Blood and Lung Institute, American Heart Association and the International Association for the Study of Obesity)
Important to remember:

• Impacts of SB not mitigated by physical activity.
• Findings present even after adjusting for PA suggesting that SB is likely a unique and independent influencer of health beyond the continuum of PA (Healy, Dunstan, et al., 2008).
• Decreasing SB is associated with a reduction in the risks of developing the markers of METS
• ACSM guidelines of 30m x5 maybe not enough. Need to increase PA and decrease SB
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study aims

Can the use of standing desks effect the markers of metabolic syndrome in occupational sitters

Pilot study to fit within a Masters level thesis

Lack of research at time of study suggested the need to examine plausability
study design

Single case series (N-of-1) AB model with no withdrawal or repeat phases.

Data interpretation performed through visual analysis to focus on clinical significance (Gross, 2006; Kratscheff et al., 2010).

Fixed frequency anthropometric and biochemical measurements.

Single case AB model with no withdrawal or repeat phases, time and financial constraints of a 90 credit thesis

Three phase study of baseline, phase-in and intervention with
- anthropometric, biological, inclinometric data collected every 4 weeks
- lived experience data collected through set point interviews.

21 weeks
At-risk occupational sitters.
Recruited using a custom website as well listing the study on a participant recruitment website
Approached local Fairfax news outlet which was then covered through the lifestyle section of Stuff. ‘pop science’

- 43 registrations of interest
- 14 were eligible to complete
- 6 selected, complete baseline and phase-in
- 2 withdrawals – 12, 18 weeks
- 4 complete full study
data collection and analysis

**Anthropometric data** collected by researcher at participants’ workplace

**Biochemical data** collected by Labtests New Zealand using Siemens Advia 2400

**Inclinometry data** collected using Actigraph GTX3+ or a wGTX3+ worn on thigh

**Lived experience data** collected through semi-structured interviews in-person or via Skype

Data were analysed using linear regression and effect sizes (Cohen’s D) calculated between baseline and intervention period

Changes only considered meaningful if they exceeded biological variance or technical error for measurement

- Anthropometric - workplace
- Biochemical – labtests
- Inclinometry
- Semi-structured interviews

Metabolic changes were only considered significant if they exceeded the known Technical error of measurement or biological variances.
markers of METs

Waist Circumference
- A meaningful decrease that exceeded measurement error in three participants between the baseline and intervention periods (PA = 5.2 cm, PB = 6.7 cm, PC = 2.5 cm)

BMI
- A meaningful decrease in two participants (PA = 1.4 kg/m², PB = 0.4 kg/m²)
- A meaningful increase in two participants (PC = 0.5 kg/m², PF = 1.4 kg/m²)

Triglycerides
- A decrease of 1.3 mmol/l in Participant A

Blood Glucose
- An increase of 0.75 mmol/l in Participant B

Not very much!
Tendency for all participants to decrease daily sitting time and increase daily standing time

Participants maintained changes to SB throughout intervention period suggesting acceptability of standing desks even with the opportunity to sit
Standing became ‘normal’ with some participants reporting a reduction in physical discomfort

The use of standing desks was a positive experience that helped to improve stress tolerance and more positive about their environment

Reinforced the need for an adjustment period to allow transition to standing in order to reduce discomfort.
discussion

• **Increase in daily occupational standing** between 111 min/day and 341 min/day for all participants

• **Decrease in daily occupational sitting** between 107 min/day and 311 min/day in all participants

• **High acceptability** suggested through both qualitative and quantitative data

• A lack of meaningful change to markers of METs may be indicated by short period of study or nature of mechanisms

---

Change in sedentary behaviour maintained for the duration of the study even with the option to sit down

Complicated metabolic processes
Short period of time
No monitor or control of diet or exercise
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