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Abstract
This paper examines the factors underpinning the successes achieved by a team of Unitec volunteers that worked with Habitat for Humanity (HfH) in Samoa, rebuilding fales (traditional houses) in early 2010. This team built a large number of fales working alongside the villagers using collaborative techniques derived from Samoan cultural customs. Experience at the time indicated that the Unitec's team acknowledgement of the villagers' existing knowledge of both traditional and modern carpentry skills encouraged a high level of local participation in the building process. This impression was later tested through research in 2012 that found that the buildings were still in good condition and that the villagers had been satisfied with the overall process employed by the group. The study used a qualitative approach, involving interviews and observations, and was analysed with the application of two research frameworks – the Kestle Model (Kestle, Storey & Potangaroa, 2008) and ‘Talking to the Buildings’ (Potangaroa, 2008). In addition, information was sought about the potential value of trade training skills and qualifications that some villagers had gained. Findings showed that ‘value generation’, ‘knowledge integration’, ‘process integration’ and ‘timely decision-making’ had overall been positive from the villagers’ perspective due, in the main, to the cultural approach taken by the group. The villagers did, however, consider that they had not had sufficient input into the initial planning process, although the matai (village chiefs) had been consulted by the Samoan Government and HfH before the rebuilding project started. This paper concludes with a recommendation that disaster management organisations integrate local cultures as a fundamental aspect from the initial planning of any project, from implementation through to its completion.
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Background

On 29th September 2009, an earthquake of magnitude 8.3 triggered a tsunami that crashed into Samoa, American Samoa and Tonga, killing about 200 people on the three groups of islands. In Samoa some 20 villages were destroyed leaving 3,500 people homeless. Habitat for Humanity (New Zealand), in partnership with the Samoan government, immediately responded to this disaster by funding and supervising the construction of some 250 fales, or traditional houses, on selected sites on the tsunami-ravaged south-eastern coast of Upolu. Habitat for Humanity (HfH) organised teams of volunteers to build the fales, and, working under Samoan supervisors, all volunteers learnt to build “the Samoan way”. (HfH, 2009). This period of rebuilding was carried out from November, 2009 until June 2010 with different teams arriving every two weeks to build a number of fales.
The paper examines the ‘success’ of one of those teams, from Unitec Institute of Technology, evaluated both in terms of the number and quality of the fales this team constructed in the village of Saleaumua and the satisfaction that villagers found with wider aspects of the team’s involvement. It was hoped that such a study might explain why this team successfully constructed five fales, plus the carpentry for a further three, during their two weeks on the project, in comparison with two being the average number constructed by each of the seven previous groups. In particular, this paper focuses on the value of engaging fully with Samoan cultural mores while undertaking the rebuilding work.

It should be noted that while the research underpinning this paper explores the way in which integration of Samoan village values into the process of construction appeared to be a significant aspect in the rebuilding process, this is not a theoretical anthropological or ethnographic study but an attempt to identify practical steps which future volunteer rebuilding projects might incorporate when faced with disasters in other parts of the world.

Introduction

A year after the HfH Samoan fale rebuilding project was completed, it was decided to examine the approach taken by the Unitec building team, from both the Matai (Chiefs’) and Saleaumua villagers’ points of view. As the Unitec team had engaged in collaborative techniques that derived from Samoan cultural customs, the integration of local traditions and values used by that team formed a major part of the investigation.

In addition, the research aimed to discover if the opportunity to gain trade qualifications and skills had also been seen as valuable by village members, and specifically, whether having additional building qualifications had improved villagers’ quality of life and employment opportunities.

Steps leading to the rebuild

At the initial stage of the project, Unitec Carpentry lecturers were informed that a team of builders were required to go to Samoa to work on the fale rebuilding project and they were invited to volunteer. Almost the entire Carpentry Section submitted their names and the final team of 12 was complemented by two staff members from another department, in addition to two students.

Questions were raised about ways of working with the Samoan village people. How would they respond when the tutors tried to share their skills and knowledge and – what about a language barrier?

As one of the writers of this paper comes from Fiji, he appreciated that Samoans are a people who hold firmly to their own beliefs and culture. He recognised that while volunteers from New Zealanders can provide modern tools, technology and skills, he reflected on how he and the other visitors might be able to demonstrate that the culture of Samoa was valued in
practice. He felt that integrating such an approach could build good relationship and develop teamwork between the locals and the volunteers. In turn, this should help to grow understanding and respect while empowering the volunteers to successfully construct the fales expected within the timeframe.

Once the Unitec team arrived in Saleaumua, there was a distinct feeling of tension among the local people, with some villagers already on site standing back from the visitors. It became clear that no one was ready to move forward to work with the new arrivals. This was surprising as seven previous teams of volunteers had already worked in the village building fales during the preceding 14 weeks. Therefore, this seemed to be the right moment to start to break down this barrier by working within existing Samoan cultural practices.

**Deployment of cultural practice**

Members of the team bought some “kava root” which, once prepared, makes a traditional drink commonly used by Pacific Islanders at special meetings and welcoming ceremonies. The highest rank matai present on site was asked for approval to perform a traditional “Ava” (a Samoan word, asking permission to come in to their village) and it was planned that, with the help of an interpreter, that meeting would be used to ask the chiefs for their support.

The matai agreed to hold the kava ceremony and that event appeared to be the beginning of the team’s success. Following this formal gathering, the matai then held a second meeting with the villagers and subsequently men came out in force, one member stepping forward from each family. The team later learned from other villagers that the Samoans were pleased that their culture had been respected, and that the New Zealanders had acknowledged the traditional building skills that the villagers already had.

During the eight days that the Unitec team worked at Saleaumua they managed to complete five fales and finish the carpentry work on a further three houses, requiring only the floors and roofs to be added. Figure 1 shows an almost complete fale together with its Samoan village builders.
Due to the large number of villagers who turned up at the construction site every day, three building parties were organised, with a fourth dedicated to preparing the ground for construction. This allowed the visiting volunteers to work mainly in a supervisory and engineering role, a situation that had the added advantage of establishing trust with the village men and overtly demonstrating that the visitors recognised the value of their current skills. At the same time, the team were able to further train some of the villagers and up-skill those who already have knowledge of carpentry, introducing them to modern technology, materials and craftsmanship. Figure 2 shows two apprentices preparing a fascia board, one of who is a matai gaining his own qualification.

Each morning started with a normal site meeting as well as prayers, and the work day finished in the same fashion (see figure 3). The Matai were asked to give speeches of encouragement and church elders were asked to pray for the Sale’aumua rebuilding team daily. A number of village women took on their traditional roles of supporting the builders by preparing food for them all.
throughout the day. When the two weeks were over, a formal thanksgiving feast was also organised by the villagers.

As a result of this community collaboration (see figure 4), the Saleaumua people fulfilled the challenge of forming their own Habitat Construction team and they did not need any further volunteers to come to the village to work with them. They had won the confidence of Habitat for Humanity as well as the trust of the Samoan Government. They became the only village who finished all the fales planned to be built before the end of the Rebuilding Project timelines mandate.

Figure 3 : Saleaumua villagers during a morning site meeting before work commences.

Figure 4: Unitec builders sharing time together with the villages and matai developing, collaboration between the groups.

Research methodology
A Qualitative approach was used in this research comprising interviews, observations and Koha as the method of obtaining information from the participants (Koha is the thanksgiving meal shared amongst all the participants). In addition, preliminary discussions were held with HfH officers in New Zealand who gave their support to the investigation.

In order to formalise the findings and triangulate the results, two established frameworks were employed, in addition to perceptions revealed during the villagers’ interviews. These were, ‘Talking to the Buildings’ (Potangaroa, 2008) and the Kestle Model (Kestle, Storey & Potangaroa, 2008). The former was primarily an observational tool designed to evaluate the structure and use of the buildings, while the latter was employed during the analysis of the findings.

a) Talking to the Buildings

In order to use measurable criteria when evaluating the completed fale, a technique was employed known as ‘Talking to the Buildings’ (Potangaroa, 2008). This involved assessing 10 diverse patterns for each building and giving each a significance score from 1 to 4, with 1 representing ‘Not significant’ and 4 being ‘Very significant’. The 10 patterns were mapped across three spatial areas of a house and these were typically: the area outside the fale; the area immediately inside the building, and then any other further spatial divisions further within.

The observations were carried out by the principal researcher, guided by the match he perceived between the pattern and its optimal description (as listed on the table below).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhabiting the site</td>
<td>If the form of the house doesn’t begin by responding to the site, house and site may well end up in conflict with each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating rooms, outside and in</td>
<td>A lively balance of indoor and outdoor rooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places in between</td>
<td>Places that allow you to inhabit the edge, that offer enough exposure to make you aware of your surroundings, and that provide just enough protection to make that awareness comfortable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refuge and outlook</td>
<td>At its simplest this involves a view from inside, looking out</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private edges, common core</td>
<td>A good home balances private and communal space throughout</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The flow through rooms

Movement through a room affects the room itself

Composing with materials

Choosing its materials – to support, frame, fill, cover, colour and texture space – is the act of composing the home

Sheltering roof

More than any other single element, the form of the roof – as experienced both outside and in – carries the look and meaning of shelter, of home

Parts in proportion

A home is a hierarchy of parts in proportion

Capturing light

Good homes capture light – filter it, reflect it – in ways that, no matter the season or time of day, delight their inhabitants

This procedure was adapted from an original evaluation system designed by Jacobson, Silverstein and Winslow (2002).

b) Kestle Model

The process used to analyse the key factors in the HfH Samoan rebuild was adapted from the Kestle Model (2008). This is a multi-disciplinary management framework for collaborative international projects on remote sites that was developed by Kestle and subsequently tested, for example, in the humanitarian aid context in Darfur and in Aceh. It had been found to be very effective in modelling and interpreting the issues related to the provision of humanitarian aid in remote locations and following natural disasters. The Kestle Model provides a framework to compare what has been seen and experienced in the field versus what was planned by management in the various organisations. From that comparative analysis it aims to demonstrate where specific value has been being added (or is perceived to have been added).

Research design

It was intended to organise separate interviews with different members of the village community in order to encourage a free flow of information. It was planned that 1:1 interviews would be held with each of the three matai (chiefs) who were involved in the original project. It was proposed to then interview 6-8 villagers during a talanoaga session (focus group) without the matai being present, although the same questions would be used as a basis for all interviews. The villagers would be invited by HfH so that they would be relatively independent both of the matai and the researcher involved during the time of the original rebuilding.

In addition, observations would be carried out - using the ‘Talking to the Buildings’ evaluation (Potangaroa, 2008).
Actual research

Two 1:1 interviews were carried out with villagers, both of whom spoke English, prior to the official meeting with the matai. One of these was with a villager who had subsequently used his building experience to continue his education and gain formal building trade qualifications. The other interview was with a female community worker who lived in the village and who had worked closely with the HfH project.

The matai then decided that they would prefer to meet as a collective group, a meeting that, according to Samoan custom would also be attended by all the male villagers in a non-speaking capacity. 14 matai took part in this meeting, their answers being later translated and transcribed.

The differences between the plan and actual research occurred organically, to fit in with the village circumstances. It is worth noting that in an original briefing in New Zealand, HfH had recommended that initial interviews should be conducted without the matai being present in order that villagers might be enabled to express their opinions, a situation which was only partly achieved.

Results

i) Interview with a village community worker (Leela)

Leela, whose background is that of a social worker, had organised food for the volunteers during the rebuild and had also been provided with a fale. She had lived her whole life in Saleaumua but had connections outside the village and with government officials. During her interview she stressed the high level of satisfaction the villagers felt with the building project and then made a number of key points: the importance of culture and communication; the need for more in-depth volunteer orientation; and the benefits of the training gained by the village men.

She explained that both before and during the rebuild there had been times when there had been a lack of communication, and, with the best of intentions, a failure by some teams to work with the local customs. Some of the teams did not formally introduce themselves to the locals or explain in any detail how the work was going to progress. Whereas, she said that the Unitec team had arranged a formal introduction ceremony, kept people informed on a daily basis and, at the end of the working day, spent time sharing kava with the local men. She noticed this process had produced a strong awareness of camaraderie and a feeling of brotherhood.

Leela emphasised that there had been a great value in having a Pacific Islander liaising between the villagers and the volunteers:

You came with your Fijian cultural mix and that’s the important thing. In the Pacific Islands, we are going to need more people like yourself to be team leaders or supervisors, because the volunteers that comes from all over the world have no idea of our culture. You need somebody who
knows how this move, somebody with heart, somebody with the understanding. Not to say to discriminate from the palagi, but you are going to need somebody from the Pacific - and not necessarily our own people from here.

Although she underlined the value of the overall building project, she gave a number of examples of the way the villagers’ requests had been ignored, particularly during the planning stages. The only input they were offered was on the placement of the individual houses, but when they asked to alter the locations of some of the toilets – there was no one who was willing to take the authority.

We wanted to connect the toilet directly to the house so that will just require little restructuring but we had nobody who would listen - no one was able to say ‘let’s do this’.

Towards the end of her interview, Leela praised the training work done by the Unitec team, both formally and informally. She explained the effect this had had on the younger village men:

Yes, definitely they all got qualified. They’ve got couple of Carpentry certificates to be builders in Australia, New Zealand and here. Now, when they applied for a job, they can get the same wage as carpenters that come from overseas. We have another guy who has already build a house for his mum. A full-on house, you know a western style house that from his skills.

She concluded by stressing the need for more effective co-ordination between villagers, government and the agency involved and to have a liaison or a mediator between the people who getting the houses and the workers, the volunteers and the main supervisor (Habitat for Humanity). Her final words were, “Oh, it’s so lovely to see that our dignity has been restored!”

**ii) Interview with a village carpentry student**

Siale had been one of the young village men who had taken part in the rebuilding as a carpenter’s hand and whose family gained a new fale. He was one of the villagers who subsequently went onto complete a building qualification, as; aided by the skills gained on the construction site, he graduated the following year with a Certificate in Carpentry and Joinery. His work on the fale was assessed as part of the practical requirement of this course.

He explained how important the acquisition of this formal qualification had been to him:

*It gives me a job, so now I am able to support my dad and my mum and the family. Yes, because when you left, I went ahead to do carpentry Course offered by APTC and graduated with a carpentry and Joinery certificate. Learn how to work and earn for a living. So, when you left, I got a carpentry job in town and my priorities are changed, my lifestyle is*
changed so I’m no more a village man now - I’m working and I have to look after myself well, wake up early in the morning get into the bus and off to work every day. Great life learning!

It was also clear in his conversation that he and his friends had valued the respect shown by the visiting Unitec team:

*Especially when you came to us with all the matai and us sitting around the Kava ceremony and exchanging words of respect, respecting each other. That’s great, that’s a great cultural oriented session. I think I can see some similarities in our culture, yes that’s great. You can see that the Matai dictates everything for the villages from there. Because we listen, we are not allowed to talk back to the matai; we just have to carry out orders.*

Knowing that many Samoan villagers will engage a traditional Master Carpenter in any building work, Siale was asked about this situation in Saleaumua and what involvement such a person had during the rebuilding project. Siale was somewhat surprised by the question and explained that a Master Carpenter was always appointed when required by the High Chief. In the case of the rebuilding, the researcher himself had been given this role! Siale went onto explain the normal relationship between the villagers and the matai:

*All the carpenters have to listen to the Matai. The Matai is the boss of everything, whatever they say - goes. We as commoners, we just listen and are obedient to the orders and that is our culture. We have a system in place for the village. If a fale has to be built, the Matai will call the carpenter and tell them what he wants and the carpenter will do it.*

Finally, Siale commented on the opportunity to input ideas and requests to the visiting volunteers, His experience appeared to be better than the one described by Leela in that the Unitec team were asked to slightly reduce the height of his fale and also change the location of the toilet. In both cases these requests were complied with.

**iii) Interview with the Matai**

After initially describing difficulties they had found connected to government funding in general, the matai confirmed that all the fales that had been built were satisfactory although only 28 houses had been constructed in total. This was despite the fact that there were 60 plus families in the village who had initially needed new homes. They explained that all of the new fale were occupied even though some were only used for sleeping by families who worked elsewhere during the day.

When questioned about the traditional lines of authority connected to house building, they made it clear that following Samoan tradition, this ultimately resided in the hands of the matai:
The matai are in charge, they instruct the aumaga (council of untitled men), and they must obey because the chiefs are in charge of the village. Although Tafua is the head of the village, the authority lies collectively with all the chiefs. The reason why the chiefs and aumaga work together is firstly to make sure the job gets done quickly and because there is the obligation on the aumaga to obey the chiefs. For example when the aumaga helped you build, you were the leaders, but it was on our order that they came to help in order to help the project move quicker.

The matai considered that the Unitec team had shown due respect to the hierarchy of the people, and had overcome the barrier of language. However, they did state that they had not been able to provide sufficient input during the pre-planning stage of the rebuild. Nevertheless, they were satisfied that a correct decision had been taken (by the government) to relocate the village inland, away from the coast. They added that the water supply had still not been laid on.

Overall they believed that the help they had received had been of great benefit to them, “Without your help, we would still be trying to build new houses and still trying to rebuild our village.”

Talking to the buildings (Potangaroa, 2008)

All five of the buildings completed by the Unitec team were evaluated against the ten patterns, and values were attributed to each. Values of 1 or 2 were deemed to have less significance (and therefore have less relevance to that pattern) than values of 3 or 4.

Table 2: The relative significance of patterns across Fales 1 - 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pattern</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhabiting the site</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lack of input into site location by owner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creating rooms, outside and in</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mostly crowded together, except fale 3 and 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Places in between</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Space available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refuge and outlook</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Vista satisfied inhabitants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private edges, common core</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No private areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The flow through rooms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Open plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composing with materials</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Local timbers untreated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheltering roof</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Simple and well-constructed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parts in proportion</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>All fale of equal design</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall, this evaluation indicated that all the fale patterns showed almost identical levels of significance between one another. The only exceptions to this, as highlighted in Table 2, were the creation of internal rooms in Fales 3 and 4. This caused a higher significance score to be allocated to these two buildings. The second clear result was the uniformly low significance of Private Edges. This was due to the normal lack of Private areas in traditional Samoan villages and indicated that this pattern was not applicable in this development.

**Discussion**

Objective analysis of the interview responses is always open to the challenge of bias, as the villagers’ answers may be influenced by Pacific cultural politeness compounded by a perception of gratitude or indebtedness. However, the variety of replies, taken together with the application of two established research frameworks support the original hypothesis of this research – that the project team did carry out a successful project and this was largely due to the careful use of culturally appropriate techniques throughout the endeavour.

The overall success of this rebuilding project was finally examined using the Kestle framework (2008) which explored four key factors: ‘value generation’, ‘knowledge integration’, ‘process integration’ and ‘timely decision-making’. In the case of the Samoan rebuild, the detailed aspects of each of these parameters are shown in Figure 1, modified to fit the context in Saleaumua.

**Value generation**: The number and quality of fale built by the Unitec team amply fulfilled the expectations of the villagers from all ranks of the village hierarchy. Everyone interviewed in the village drew a strong connection between this quantifiable achievement and the behaviour displayed by the team in recognising local customs, ranging from the involvement of the matai to the sharing of kava at the end of each working day.

**Knowledge integration**: This appeared to be successful at both a formal and an informal level, although there was some discrepancy around the number of men who gained building qualifications – the matai considered that only a couple had achieved this qualification while the young builder claimed that a number of his contemporaries had also been successful.

**Process integration**: This was an area where three of the main criteria had been achieved (the number of fale built, in time and to an acceptable standard as determined through the ‘Talking to the Buildings’ framework). However, aspects of collaboration between Project planners (primarily the Samoan government HfH and the matai) showed areas where further improvement could be undertaken. Clearly, the rebuild was driven by a realisation of
urgency but fine details, such as toilet location, could be addressed within an element of flexibility during the project.

**Decision making:** The value of having a closer integration between the volunteer building teams and the villagers was identified by all of the villagers and they recognised the importance of having a Master carpenter in charge who understood the process of Pacific decision making.

**Figure 1: Value, Knowledge, Integration and Decision making**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kestle Model</th>
<th>Samoan rebuild project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>VALUE GENERATION</strong></td>
<td><strong>VALUE GENERATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- client’s value criteria</td>
<td>- Overall satisfaction with fale rebuilding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- stakeholders’ value criteria</td>
<td>- Cultural values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KNOWLEDGE INTEGRATION</strong></td>
<td><strong>KNOWLEDGE INTEGRATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- specialist site knowledge</td>
<td>- Award of formal Trades qualifications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- IT for remote site coordination</td>
<td>- Informal construction learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PROCESS INTEGRATION</strong></td>
<td><strong>PROCESS INTEGRATION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- logistics &amp; site accessibility</td>
<td>- The number of fale built</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- construction planning/methodology</td>
<td>- The time taken for building</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- alternative procurement strategies</td>
<td>- the quality of the buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- creativity and production interface</td>
<td>- collaboration with village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DECISION MAKING</strong></td>
<td><strong>DECISION MAKING</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- timely &amp; critical</td>
<td>- HfH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- performance criteria</td>
<td>- The matai system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- environmental sustainability</td>
<td>- Samoan Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- economic constraints</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations**

This project ultimately will help to develop a good model of implementing cultural practices and understanding during external disaster relief projects based on the responses of the villagers and matai, which should be of benefit to future work undertaken by NGOs and other training organisations.

In particular, this will help future projects involving Habitat for Humanity and Unitec to integrate local cultures as a fundamental aspect from the initial planning of any project, implementation and through to its completion. It is anticipated that a full presentation of the research findings and conclusions will be made to Habitat for Humanity. Furthermore, in order to show proper cultural respect, and to benefit the Samoan community, face-to-face feedback will also be provided in Samoa.

These findings indicate that policies should be designed to cater for different cultural demands at both a national and a person-to-person level. Finally, information gathered may be used to recommend changes and improvements to the interventions by outside agencies in disaster relief projects.
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