Chronic measurement of left ventricular pressure in freely moving rats

Ellyce Stehlin,1 Simon C. Malpas,1,2,3 David M. Budgett,1,3 Carolyn J. Barrett,2 Daniel McCormick,1,3 Gillian Whalley,4 Fumin Fu,5 Michael Beil,5 Dean F. Rigel,5 and Sarah-Jane Guild2,3
1Auckland Bioengineering Institute, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; 2Department of Physiology, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand; 3Millar Inc, Auckland, New Zealand; 4Faculty of Social and Health Sciences, Unitec, Auckland, New Zealand; and 5Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, New Jersey

Purpose: There have been few recordings of left ventricular pressure (LVP) and its first derivative, (LV dP/dt max), in conscious freely moving animals. This is because it has been generally considered that placement of the pressure catheter via the carotid and through the aortic valve is not chronically viable due to the risk of valve damage (17). This necessitates a more complicated surgical approach through the wall of the left ventricle (LV). Although surgical approaches have been described (1, 23), there has been little assessment of their long-term validity. Importantly, the pressure dynamics occurring in the LV have a greater rate of change compared with arterial pressure, requiring a sensor with a high-frequency response for accurate signal capture. Arterial pressure telemeters have traditionally relied on a gel-filled catheter-based pressure-sensing mechanism with a relatively limited frequency response (10, 13, 23, 25). Control LV dP/dt max values reported for the rat using this technology have varied widely, from 5,000 to 13,000 mmHg/s (3, 4), suggesting a possible error associated with the lower frequency response. Overall, whereas some day/night variation in dP/dt max has been reported, the values obtained appear to be confounded by the limitation of the technology (23). Solid-state pressure sensors provide an opportunity for high-fidelity recordings of pulsatile pressures such as those occurring in the LV but have not been applied to the chronic measurement of LVP. The aim of this study was to refine and evaluate surgical approaches to implanting LV catheters for the chronic measurement of LVP. The potential of a new, solid-state telemetry system for accurately measuring LVP was investigated under a range of conditions in freely moving rats. In particular, the ability of the device to accurately measure changes in dP/dt was assessed through measurement of the long-term circadian variation and direct drug-induced alteration of LVP.

STABLE, ACCURATE MEASUREMENTS of left ventricular pressure (LVP) can provide key indicators of cardiac performance for basic cardiovascular performance through to the safety assessment of therapeutic compounds. Traditionally, it has been possible to obtain an index of contractility via the placement of a pressure sensor into the left ventricular chamber and calculating the first derivative of ventricular pressure, the change in pressure over time (dP/dt) (11). Such measurement is commonplace in anesthetized rodents but has received little attention in the conscious condition. Although measurement of arterial blood pressure in freely moving rodents via telemetry is widely practiced (10), there have been very few recordings of LVP and dP/dt in the conscious state (1, 20, 23). A direct chronic measure of LVP and its first derivative, (LV dP/dt), poses a greater surgical challenge compared with arterial pressure measurements. This is because it has been generally considered that placement of the pressure catheter via the carotid and through the aortic valve is not chronically viable due to the risk of valve damage (17). This necessitates a more complicated surgical approach through the wall of the left ventricle (LV). Although surgical approaches have been described (1, 23), there has been little assessment of their long-term validity. Importantly, the pressure dynamics occurring in the LV have a greater rate of change compared with arterial pressure, requiring a sensor with a high-frequency response for accurate signal capture. Arterial pressure telemeters have traditionally relied on a gel-filled catheter-based pressure-sensing mechanism with a relatively limited frequency response (10, 13, 23, 25). Control LV dP/dt max values reported for the rat using this technology have varied widely, from 5,000 to 13,000 mmHg/s (3, 4), suggesting a possible error associated with the lower frequency response. Overall, whereas some day/night variation in dP/dt max has been reported, the values obtained appear to be confounded by the limitation of the technology (23). Solid-state pressure sensors provide an opportunity for high-fidelity recordings of pulsatile pressures such as those occurring in the LV but have not been applied to the chronic measurement of LVP. The aim of this study was to refine and evaluate surgical approaches to implanting LV catheters for the chronic measurement of LVP. The potential of a new, solid-state telemetry system for accurately measuring LVP was investigated under a range of conditions in freely moving rats. In particular, the ability of the device to accurately measure changes in dP/dt was assessed through measurement of the long-term circadian variation and direct drug-induced alteration of LVP.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Modeled Error in Determining dP/dt

Because previous telemetry-based recordings of LVP have utilized fluid-filled catheters, we believed it was important to estimate the ability of a variety of telemetry technologies to accurately measure dP/dt. The frequency response of a variety of commercially available telemetry systems from Data Sciences International has been published (5, 13). We measured frequency response of a new solid-state telemeter (model TRM54P; Millar, Auckland, New Zealand) by a previously described method (13) in which an 18 mmHg peak-to-peak sinusoidal pressure signal was applied to the sensor with discrete increases in frequency between 1 and 10 kHz. The ~3 dB frequency from the constructed Bode plot of the new sensor’s output response was found to be 470 Hz (Fig. 1A). By taking the frequency response data from the Data Sciences C90 (3 dB point 40 Hz), C10 (3 dB point 57 Hz), HD-S21 (3 dB point 100 Hz), and TRM54P solid-state telemetry (3 dB point 470 Hz) systems we made a theoretical assessment of the ability of the different systems to measure a rate of change in pressure in the range that would be observed in the LV of a rat. MATLAB was used to generate fourth-order Bessel filters with a frequency response corresponding to 1.5 times the ~3 dB frequency for each sensor. A discrete series of ramp functions were simulated, each representing an increasing transient peak in dP/dt (dP/dt max).
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Fig. 1. A: average frequency response of the solid-state telemeter TRM54P showing a 3 dB frequency of 470 Hz. B: frequency response-associated error for four telemetry devices. TRM54P (●) has a solid-state pressure sensing element on the end of a catheter, whereas DSI HD-S21 (○), DSI C10 (□), and DSI C40 (●) rely on a fluid catheter pressure-sensing mechanism. For a basal dP/dt signal of 10,000 mmHg/s, the simulation estimates TRM54P and DSI HD-S21 would measure 10,083 mmHg/s (0.1% error), DSI C10 would measure 9,829 mmHg/s (1.7% error), and DSI C40 would measure 8,633 mmHg/s (14% error). For a dP/dt signal of 23,000 mmHg/s (as observed with dobutamine) the simulation estimates TRM54P would measure 23,192 mmHg/s (0.1% error), DSI HD-S21 would measure 20,678 mmHg/s (10% error), DSI C10 would measure 14,380 mmHg/s (37% error), and DSI C40 would measure 10,638 (54% error). Note literature reports dP/dt values obtained from anesthetized rats are between 5,000 and 13,000 (3, 4).

and passed through each filter representing the three sensors. The dP/dt max of the resulting output was compared against the original function to determine the error in the signal due to the frequency response.

**Long-Term Drift**

A long-term drift apparatus was set up to monitor the drift in the pressure sensors and amplifier circuit used in the solid-state teleimeters. The rig contained eight pressure sensor catheters immersed in saline housed in individual test tubes. The test tubes were housed in the dark in a heating block controlled at 37°C (±0.2°C). The catheters exited each test tube through a Touhy-Borst adapter with a separate opening to atmosphere through a hydrophobic filter to prevent evaporation. A benchtop digital pressure sensor (model CPT6100; Menkor, TX) with a zero stability of 0.011 mmHg over 180 days (14) was used to monitor and compensate for atmospheric pressure changes. Pressure readings were taken from each sensor every 10 min for 100 days using a portable data logger (midi logger GL.220; Graphtech, CA).

**Animals**

This study involved three groups of animals. Study of the first and second groups (Groups A and B) were approved by the University of Auckland Ethics Committee. These groups contained male Wistar rats with initial weights of 300–320 g (n = 14, Group A) and 281–385 g (n = 12, Group B). Animals from Group A underwent varied surgery approaches to refine the surgical methods (see Surgery) and also received drugs to induce changes in LVP to test the technology’s ability to measure change. Cardiac ultrasonography (Sonosite Micro-Maxx with a 12-MHz sector transducer) was performed on a subset of Group A animals to determine fractional shortening (from m-mode) and fractional area change (from short axis images) of the heart pretelemeter implantation with the animal lightly anesthetized with isoflurane. Subsequently, animals in Group B all underwent the same established surgical method and received no interventions to produce chronic measurement data. Study of the third group of animals (Group C) was approved by the Novartis Animal Care and Use Committee. This separate group contained 9 male Wistar-Kyoto rats with initial weights between 545 and 715 g. Animals in Group C received varying doses of a range of compounds to induce dose-dependent time-course changes in LVP and dP/dt. All animals were housed individually with food and water constantly available. Individual fluid and food intake was monitored daily. The room was kept at a constant temperature (22°C) and a 12:12-h dark:light cycle (lights-on from 6:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.).

**Surgery**

All animals were implanted with a TRM54P telemeter (Millar, Auckland, New Zealand) to measure LVP or a dual-channel device to measure LVP and electrocardiographic (ECG) recordings (TRM54PB) or LVP and blood pressure (BP) (TRM54PP). All devices shared the −3 dB point of 470 Hz of the TRM54P because they used the same pressure sensor. The sensing tip of the catheter utilized a 2-Fr solid-state pressure sensor (Millar, Houston, TX). Animals were initially anesthetized by inhalation of 4% isoflurane in 2-l/min O2, followed by intubation, mechanical ventilation, and maintenance with 2% isoflurane. Antibiotic (Groups A and B: Baytril, enrofloxacin; Bayer, Auckland, New Zealand; Group C: Penject, penicillin G procaine; Butler Animal Health Supply, US) and analgesia (Temgesic, buprenorphine; Reckitt Benckiser, US) were given subcutaneously before the beginning of surgery.

**LVP Sensor Implantation**

Groups A and B. A transdiaphragmatic approach was adopted with the pressure sensor placed in the LV and the telemeter body implanted in the abdomen. A 2.5-cm abdominal midline incision was made in the skin starting from the xyphoid process and between the underlying abdominal muscles. A piece of saline-soaked gauze was used to protect exposed organs in the abdomen. A suture through the xyphoid process was used for gentle retraction to give a better view of the diaphragm and an incision was made in the diaphragm exposing the apex of the heart. A shallow suture was inserted in the apex of the heart so that it could be gently retracted to stabilize the heart. A 23-G needle was used to form a hole in the apex of the heart through which the pressure sensor was inserted into the LV. At this point the telemeter was turned on and the signal observed on a nearby computer while maneuvering the catheter tip to ensure the pressure sensing element of the catheter was inside the ventricular chamber and measuring a typical LVP waveform.

We assessed a variety of techniques to secure the sensor/catheter in the LV of Group A animals including tissue adhesive (Histoacryl, eubucrilate; B. Braun Melsungen, Germany), mesh patch, suture cuff, and a purse-string suture around the catheter. We found that the tissue adhesive, either used alone or in combination with a mesh patch, would hold the catheter in place for a few days but signal reliability would decrease with time and, in one animal, the catheter pulled out of the heart completely. The purse-string technique involved a series
of shallow stitches made in the myocardium surrounding the catheter and gently pulled to restrict the catheter’s movement. However, on its own, this was found not to be sufficient to secure the catheter in place. With the suture cuff technique, suture thread was wound around the catheter several times, tied and glued prior to beginning surgery to form a ring/cuff, 10 mm from the catheter’s tip. Ten millimeters was measured as the distance from the apical epicardium to the catheter’s tip, which would place the sensing element toward the base of the LV (i.e., the wider region). The thread then provided a stopper-to-catheter tip, which would place the sensing element toward the base of the LV measured as the distance from the apical epicardium to the catheter’s tip and a different anchoring method was used. In this case, a short length (2 mm) of Tygon tubing (0.020-in. ID × 0.060-in. OD) was split longitudinally and placed around the catheter at the desired distance from the tip and secured to the catheter by a tightly tied suture. The catheter was inserted into the LV chamber up to the stopper tube and secured by tying the ends of the tubing suture to the purse-string sutures. At least 2 wk after the surgery to implant the LVP catheter, the rats underwent a second short surgery to implant a catheter in the femoral vein for drug delivery as described previously (21). Under isoflurane anesthesia (2–4%), a femoral vein was isolated and catheterized. Catheters consisted of 55 cm of polyvinylchloride (Tygon) microbore tubing (0.020-in. ID, 0.060-in. OD) bonded with cyclohexanone to 4.5 cm of polyvinylchloride (0.011-in. ID, 0.024-in. OD; Biocorp Australia, Huntingdale, VIC, Australia) or Micro-RENathane (type MRE-025 polyurethane, 0.012-in. ID, 0.025-in. OD; Braintree Scientific, Braintree, MA) tubing. The catheters were tunneled subcutaneously and exteriorized in the mid-dorsal thoracic/abdominal region. The rats were allowed to recover for a minimum of 1 wk before further interventions were performed. Catheters were flushed with sterile 0.9% saline and locked with 200 U/ml heparin in sterile 0.9% saline after the surgery was completed and at least twice per week thereafter.

**Technology and Data Acquisition**

The battery of the implanted telemeter was charged by an inductive pad (2) placed under the home cage of the rat. This pad (TR180 SmartPad; Millar, Auckland, New Zealand) also acted as a receiver for the LVP signals.

**Groups A and B.** The received signals were sampled at 1 kHz using a PowerLab and LabChart software (v7.3.5; ADInstruments, Sydney, Australia). In Group A, data were collected for 5 min every hour for up to 28 days, whereupon animals were euthanized with an overdose of sodium pentobarbital (150 mg ip), the telemeters were explanted, cleaned in an enzymatic detergent, and prepared and sterilized for reimplantation. Continuous data collection was implemented during drug interventions on Group A animals. Scheduled acquisition has previously been shown to accurately reflect the mean levels of arterial pressure and other cardiovascular variables (7). However, we found that in some Group A rats, spikes in the LVP data (as explained in RESULTS, Signal Quality) would coincide with the 5-min periods, particularly at night, resulting in significant loss of valuable data. Therefore, for Group B, data were recorded continuously throughout the implantation period. Twenty-eight to 40 days after implantation, Group B animals were again anesthetized using isoflurane and a 2-Fr acute Millar catheter was inserted into the LV via the right carotid artery (SPR-671; Millar Instruments). Several minutes of simultaneous LVP recording were made under anesthesia from both the telemeter and the acute catheter before the rats were euthanized with an overdose of pentobarbital (150 mg i.p.). At postmortem of the rats in Group B, the heart tissue was carefully dissected away to allow visualization of the telemeter pressure sensor tip.

**Group C.** Data collection was performed using a Sonomed data acquisition system and software (Data Sciences International) and depended on the drug study protocol (see below for details). Implantation periods ranged from 24 to 85 days, when animals were euthanized by overdose with a saturating concentration of isoturane. Both software packages used recorded the LVP signal and derived its first derivative with time (dP/dt), maximal dP/dt (dP/dt max), minimum dP/dt (dP/dt min), heart rate (HR), and end systolic and end-diastolic pressure (EDP) per heartbeat.

**Drug-Induced Changes in LVP**

**Group A.** To assess the sensitivity of the technology to measure changes in dP/dt, the Ca2+ channel blocker verapamil (10 mg/kg sc) or the β-adrenergic-agonist isoproterenol (10 µg/kg sc) were administered a minimum of 2 wk after the implantation surgery. Each drug and dose was selected to induce short-term (less than 2 h) changes in heart function. Continuous recordings of beat-by-beat data were made...
for up to 120 min (30 min before drug administration to establish a baseline and 90 min after drug administration). After each drug, a rest period of at least 2 days was allowed before administration of the next drug. For analysis, the average of a 10-min period for each variable was taken from the baseline period and from a steady-state period (20 min after drug administration). Data from the same 10-min periods were used to plot beat-by-beat data for each drug. A second cardiac ultrasonography was performed on drug-induced changes in LVP baselines and peak change. Significance was established at $P < 0.05$, and all data were reported as means $\pm$ SE.

**RESULTS**

**Modeled Error in Determining dP/dt**

Results from the Bessel filter MATLAB model show that for signals with a dP/dt above 8,000 mmHg/s, the error due to the sensor’s frequency response for fluid-filled catheters may significantly dampen the signal being measured (Fig. 1B). For a rate of pressure change of 10,000 mmHg/s, the predicted frequency response error from the Data Sciences telemeter model C40 is $>1,370$ mmHg/s, rising to $>12,300$ mmHg/s for a signal of 23,000 mmHg/s. The predicted error of the Data Sciences telemeter model C10 is $>170$ mmHg/s for a signal with a rate of change of 10,000 mmHg/s, rising to an error of $>8,600$ mmHg/s for a signal of 23,000 mmHg/s. Comparatively, the solid-state telemeters have a sufficiently high-fre-
frequency response to represent a high dP/dt with a low predicted frequency response error without dampening the signal until well above 50,000 mmHg/s.

The drift rig showed that after 100 days of monitoring, the average drift across the eight sensors was 1.93 ± 0.65 mmHg. The drift in each sensor is shown in Fig. 3.

Signal Quality

Cardiac ultrasonography results from six of the Group A rodents showed the LVP surgery or presence of the sensor in the heart did not significantly affect cardiac function. The average fractional shortening before LVP surgery was 49.2 ± 4.2%, and 3–4 wk postsurgery it was 47.9 ± 2.5 (means ± SE, \( P > 0.05 \)). The average fractional area change was 67.9 ± 2.5% before surgery and 66.4 ± 2.1% (\( P > 0.05 \)) postsurgery. At explantation of the telemeters the hearts appeared normal with no obvious external abnormalities. Of the 35 rats that underwent LVP sensor implantation for this study, only one animal (from Group A) was euthanized due to poor health. This was 2 days postsurgery, and the cause of the problem could not be identified.

Despite viewing the LVP signal during the surgery it was observed across all groups that in some animals the signal displayed unusual waveforms. It appeared that the pressure sensor placement had a significant effect on the quality of the waveform obtained. Figure 4 demonstrates the range of the waveforms obtained. The normal LVP has a waveform that is almost square and the maximum point on dP/dt occurs on the upstroke of the LVP waveform. We suspect that in certain orientations the sensing tip of the catheter may be lodged in a position where it can be squashed by the ventricular wall (Fig. 4). Different LVP waveforms could be observed in single animals if the catheter had not been fully secured in place and it moved over the course of the study. This was particularly evident in the first few surgeries performed in which tissue adhesive was used to secure the catheter. In some cases,
nonideal LVP waveforms could still be used for measuring the dP/dr max, which was often unaffected by the spike in the waveform during systole. Whether or not a nonideal LVP waveform influenced dP/dr max depended on the timing of dP/dr max relative to the LVP shape.

At postmortem of the rats in Group B, the heart tissue was carefully dissected away to allow visualization of the pressure sensor tip. Of the 12 rats implanted in this group, 2 had the tips completely buried in the LV wall and 2 were held against the wall by tissue. The LVP waveforms from these rats were found to have the most frequent unusual shapes of Group B, including spikes as shown in Fig. 4, and also periods when the entire waveform was offset by up to 20 mmHg. Data from these four rats were excluded from further analysis. Two further animals had small bumps of tissue visible on the LV wall suggesting that the tip of the catheter may have been hitting the LV wall. From the included eight rats from Group B, no difference was found between the telemeter and acute catheter measurements of EDP (7.8 ± 1.4 vs. 8.2 ± 0.9 mmHg, P = 0.74), LVP max (104.0 ± 3.1 vs. 101.5 ± 2.2 mmHg, P = 0.29), and minimum LVP (0.0 ± 1.8 vs. 0.2 ± 0.7 mmHg, P = 0.86). In Groups A and C, drug response data were included for animals that displayed none of the unusual waveforms as observed in Fig. 4 during the drug-response data recording period. Out of the 14 animals in Group A, 6 provided sufficiently stable drug response waveforms, resulting in 4 animals per drug. All of the 9 animals in Group C contributed to the drug-response data, with between 5 and 7 animals per drug.

**Chronic Data**

For Group B animals, 7 out of a total of 12 LVP surgeries were considered successful on the basis of postmortem position of the pressure sensor tip and providing stable LVP signals throughout the majority of the implantation periods (28 to 40 days). LVP max, EDP, HR, and dP/dr max were monitored in each animal. From the seven successful preparations, an average (means ± SE) LVP max of 118 ± 2 mmHg, EDP of 8.2 ± 1.4 mmHg, HR of 303 ± 4 bpm, dP/dr max of 9,444 ± 363 mmHg/s, and dP/dr min of −7,793 ± 182 mmHg/s was measured in the daytime data in this group.

Figure 5 shows the variation in these parameters over 28 days in one animal. In each animal there was evidence of a circadian variation in dP/dr max, HR, and EDP, emerging between 3 and 7 days after surgery (P < 0.05). The circadian variation observed across the seven animals over 24 h is shown in Fig. 6. There was a visible peak in the circadian data at 9–10 A.M., which is the time the laboratory technician cleaned the cages and weighed the animals each day. The 9 and 10 A.M. data points were therefore excluded from the light-to-dark differences. The average difference during the dark period (from the light period) was +918 ± 84 mmHg/s for LV dP/dr max, −675 ± 85 mmHg/s for LV dP/dr min, +38 ± 3 bpm for HR, and 1.5 ± 0.3 mmHg for EDP.

Group C contained a total of nine animals implanted for a total period of 24 to 85 days (mean 41 ± 8 days).

**Drug-Induced Changes in LVP**

For Group A, 8 of the 11 surgeries were considered successful, giving good LVP signals throughout the majority of the implantation periods (28 to 44 days). One of the three animals with unsuccessful surgery had a consistently bad signal, attributed to poor placement of the catheter in the heart, and was euthanized 12 days after surgery. The catheters in the remaining two animals were pulled out of the heart early after 9 and 13 days of implantation. These animals were both early in the model development with only tissue adhesive used to secure the catheter.

In subsequent analysis the data were included only if the waveform shape was deemed to be acceptable. In Group A, the maximum effect of the drugs was observed 30–60 min after drug administration. The typical response from a single animal to different compounds is shown in Fig. 7, with the average effect on the eight animals shown in Fig. 8. Verapamil increased HR from 285 ± 8 to 340 ± 4 bpm (P < 0.05), with a decrease in dP/dr max from 10,107 ± 550 to 6,102 ± 899
The chronic measurement of LVP and associated dP/dt in freely moving rodents has considerable potential to assist in basic physiological/pharmacological research. Monitoring through a disease process such as before and after myocardial infarction or during treatment for heart failure could be enabled if a reliable measurement of LVP can be made. Chronic recording allows not only for a timeline of response to be established but also for measurements to be made inside physiological operating ranges, which are often depressed in acute, anesthetized animal experiments (8). From the accurate recording of LVP measurements of the contractility index, dP/dt max, can be made. Although somewhat susceptible to preload and HR de-

mmHg/s (P < 0.05). Isoproterenol increased both HR (320 ± 16 to 498 ± 23 bpm) and dP/dt max (9,380 ± 923 to 16,110 ± 2,283 mmHg/s) (P < 0.05).

In Group C, po verapamil and iv dobutamine or levosimendan elicited dose- and time-dependent hemodynamic effects. Verapamil caused a significant decrease in dP/dt max, LVP max, and an increase in HR (P < 0.05) with no effect on EDP. The dose-dependent effect of verapamil was significant for dP/dt max and LVP max (P < 0.05), but not HR or EDP (P > 0.05). At the highest dose, verapamil decreased dP/dt max from 11,000 to 5,000 mmHg/s for ~18 h (Fig. 9). Dobutamine had a significant effect on dP/dt max and HR (P < 0.05), with no overall effect on mean arterial pressure (MAP) or EDP. At the highest dose, dobutamine increased HR and more than doubled dP/dt max with the effects dissipating within 5 min. The time-dependence of dobutamine at 100 μg/kg is evident in Fig. 10. MAP was transiently increased at the two lowest doses but transiently decreased at 30 and 100 μg/kg. As a result, the dose-dependence of dobutamine was significant (P < 0.05) for MAP and for dP/dt max and HR, but not for EDP. Levosimendan also nearly doubled dP/dt max, increased HR, and decreased MAP (P < 0.05), with no effect on EDP (Fig. 11). The dP/dt max and HR responses lasted for ~20 min and had dose-dependent significance (P < 0.05), whereas the MAP effect was transient and not influenced by dose (P > 0.05).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study we used a telemeter that incorporated a solid-state pressure sensor at the tip to record LVP in rats living in their home cage. We established a reliable surgical approach for placement of the sensor and validated that the technology was capable of correctly measuring high values of dP/dt (high-frequency response). We were able to record stable LVP signals for the duration of the study (a maximum of 85 days) and observed strong circadian variation in dP/dt. This study also demonstrated the ability to measure pronounced changes in the contractility index, dP/dt max (from −6,000 to +13,000 mmHg/s), in response to a variety of compounds. The validation of the surgery and technology confirms use of the high-fidelity pressure-sensing telemetry for chronically and accurately monitoring cardiac function in conscious, freely moving rats.

The chronic measurement of LVP and associated dP/dt in freely moving rodents has considerable potential to assist in basic physiological/pharmacological research. Monitoring through a disease process such as before and after myocardial infarction or during treatment for heart failure could be enabled if a reliable measurement of LVP can be made. Chronic recording allows not only for a timeline of response to be established but also for measurements to be made inside physiological operating ranges, which are often depressed in acute, anesthetized animal experiments (8). From the accurate recording of LVP, measurements of the contractility index, dP/dt max, can be made. Although somewhat susceptible to preload and HR de-
dependence, dP/dt max is a proven measure of cardiac function due to its high sensitivity to contractility, and afterload independence (6, 9, 12, 19, 22, 26). In addition to establishing a surgical approach we have collated a library of responses to common compounds known to affect cardiac function. These data are important in being able to compare novel compounds and in assessing the progression of a disease state.

Although there have been previous reports of LVP measurement (1, 3, 4, 15, 16, 20, 23, 24), few include data from conscious, unrestrained rodents, and the dP/dt values reported (up to 13,000 mmHg/s) could be significantly underestimated possibly by up to 60% due to the fluid-filled catheter approach. Sato et al. monitored LVP in the conscious rat for up to 2 wk using DSI C40 pressure transmitters with a reported circadian variation from light to dark in dP/dt max of 500 mmHg/s and in HR variation of 54 bpm (23). Our results showed a mean value of LV dP/dt max of 9,443 mmHg/s and 10,361 mmHg/s for light and dark phases, and a difference in HR of 38 bpm. Whereas the circadian variation in HR in our study is less than that of the Sato et al. report, the circadian variation in dP/dt max is consistently larger in both the light and dark periods. From our analysis of frequency-response associated error in the different pressure transmitters we propose that the pressure telemeter used by Sato et al. may potentially have an error of 1,370 mmHg/s at a dP/dt max of 10,000 mmHg/s (14%). It is expected that this would have significantly dampened the circadian variation recorded during the higher-intensity dark phase. In comparison, the high-fidelity sensor used in our study has a frequency response associated error of 80 mmHg/s (1%). The high-frequency response of the solid-state telemeter allows for a more accurate measure of the circadian variation in dP/dt max to be obtained. Our results show a circadian variation in the contractility parameter, LV dP/dt max, much greater than that which has been previously reported.

A recent study by Adeyemi et al. examined the use of the QA interval (interval between the Q wave derived from the ECG and the onset of the arterial pressure waveform) as a measure of cardiac contractility by comparing how the QA interval changed in response to drug administration against how LV dP/dt max changed (1).
pressure telemetry was used to measure LVP (DSI C10) in a separate group of animals to those implanted with aortic pressure and ECG telemetry. Due to the nature of the increasing frequency response error with increasing contractility, baseline measures of dP/dt max in that study are likely to be accurate, but when drugs were used to increase dP/dt max up to 12,000 mmHg/s there is an estimated corresponding error of 800 mmHg/s for the C10 transmitter. As a result, there may have been some dampening of the drug’s effect on dP/dt max that could introduce error in the correlation between changes in dP/dt being reflected in changes in the QA interval. This would have major consequences when using such results to provide an estimate of dP/dt.

The location of the pressure-sensing element, on the side of the catheter, supports the notion that the heart muscle may be contracting against the element, causing constructive interference to the LV fluid pressure waveform with muscular force. This theory is also supported by the observation that unusual waveforms were common after isoproterenol, dobutamine, and levosimendan administration and during dark hours—periods when contractility was increased. We found that viewing the signal during surgery helped to ensure the catheter tip was correctly placed in the LV. However, inserting the catheter through the apex only far enough to obtain an acceptable signal during surgery was likely to result in signals with more frequent artifacts than if the catheter tip was placed further into the LV. This is believed to be due to the narrowness of the LV chamber near the apex compared with toward the base. Securing the catheter using the purse-string and thread (or tubing cuff) technique was found to decrease the incidence of non-ideal waveforms and therefore assumed to be the most successful method of securing the sensor. Interestingly, the opti-
mal distance of insertion into the LV (10 mm in Group A and 9 mm in Group C) was independently found to be similar between the two groups of rats despite a significant variation in animal size and weight (300–715 g). The results of ultrasounds performed on each rat in Group A prior to and after recovery from surgery confirm that the chronic placement of the catheter had no significant influence on cardiac function. Once the surgical method had been established in Group A, 7 of the 12 rats from Group B were able to be included in analysis of the chronic data. As with any new surgical technique, the surgeons grew more comfortable with the placement of the LVP catheter throughout the study, and we expect our further improvement in our success rates in future studies. Anecdotally, the process of opening the LV postmortem to view the catheter tip placement was very useful for refining placement of the catheter for future surgeries.

It was observed that in a few animals during the recordings following administration of isoproterenol, dobutamine, and levosimendan the incidence of abnormal waveform (spikes) occurring on the LVP waveform increased. This was particularly evident in animals that had shown evidence of intermittent spikes on the signal previously but could also occur in animals in which the signal had been stable until that point. The spikes on the signal generally resolved as the heart rate and contractility effects of the drug subsided and were not evident when dP/dt was decreased with verapamil. Therefore, we assume they are caused by the large, positive, inotropic effect of these drugs, which increase contractility, causing the ventricular wall to squeeze down on the catheter tip. Although not reported here, the unusual waveforms would have affected variables such as LV systolic pressure, highlighting the importance of recording and observing the raw waveform shape and any calculated variables.

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated the ability of new solid-state telemetry to chronically and accurately measure LVP and LV dP/dt in conscious, freely moving rats. The circadian variation and drug-induced changes have been measured to a resolution finer than the frequency response-associated error in fluid-filled catheter-based pressure-sensor telemetry. The surgical approach did not influence contractility and
was refined to ensure interference-free LVP waveforms could be obtained for extended periods. We propose that this technique and associated data provide the basis for examining cardiac function in a variety of research paradigms, including drug evaluation and basic research with disease models.
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