Role of echocardiographic left ventricular mass and carotid intima-media thickness in the cardiovascular risk assessment of asymptomatic patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus
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Abstract
Background: Standard cardiovascular (CV) risk assessment may underestimate risk in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). Cardiac and vascular imaging to detect subclinical disease may augment risk prediction. This study investigated the association between CV risk, left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) in patients with T2DM free of CV symptoms.

Methods: People with T2DM without known CV disease were recruited from general practice. The 5-year risk of CV events was calculated using an adjusted Framingham equation and the prevalence of LVH and abnormal CIMT assessed. In those at intermediate risk, the number needed to scan (NNS) to reclassify one person to high risk was calculated across the group and compared in those above and below 55 years. The association between LV mass and CIMT was also assessed.

Results: Mean age 57 years (SD 11), 51% female. Median 5-year CV risk 14.3% (interquartile range 10.3, 19.5), 51% had LVH (American Society of Echocardiography criteria) and 31% an abnormal CIMT (age and sex criteria). In the 52% at intermediate risk, 37% had LVH and 36% an abnormal CIMT. The NNS was 1.7 using both imaging techniques, 2.7 using cardiac imaging alone or 2.8 using vascular imaging alone. Almost twice as many people >55 years had an abnormal CIMT than those <55 years.

Conclusions: Cardiac and vascular imaging to detect subclinical disease can be used to augment prediction of CV risk in people with T2DM at intermediate risk. The value of reclassifying risk is as yet unproven and requires outcome data from intervention studies.

Introduction
Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality and morbidity in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).1 Hypertension, dyslipidaemia and other CV risk factors contribute to the increased CVD risk in patients with T2DM. Standard CV risk assessment, incorporating conventional risk factors such as age, blood pressure (BP), smoking status and cholesterol, may underestimate risk. CV risk is a continuum and improving risk estimation, especially in those assessed as having intermediate risk, may help to identify those patients with T2DM that would benefit most from more intensive CV management.

Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) and carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) are markers of subclinical CVD and may reflect the accumulated effect of exposure to risk factors which are unrecognized or underrepresented by classic risk equations. LVH is associated with CV morbidity and mortality, independent of established risk factors such as age, sex and diabetes.2,3 There is a positive, linear relationship between LV mass and CV events in the general population4 and among patients with essential hypertension.5 Diabetes is associated with LVH6 and a large proportion of patients with T2DM without known CVD have LVH7. CIMT is a marker of atherosclerotic burden and has previously been associated with LV mass.8–10 The relationship between CIMT and the
The development of CVD has led to it being recommended as an adjunct to risk prediction particularly in those estimated to be at low to intermediate CVD risk. Previous studies suggest that 20–30% of people considered at low or intermediate risk by Framingham risk assessment equations are reclassified to high risk on the basis of abnormal CIMT. However, the role of CV imaging for reclassification of risk in patients with T2DM without known CVD has not been tested, and thus remains uncertain.

The aim of this study was to assess the association between CV risk, LVH and CIMT in patients with T2DM.

Methods

Study population

The second Natriuretic Peptides in the Community study was a prospective cross-sectional study in New Zealand that sought to compare the value of NT-proBNP with electrocardiography (ECG) in the detection of LVH among patients in primary care with T2DM and no known CVD. The methods and results have been described previously. The study was approved by the local ethics committee, and informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Briefly, ethnically diverse participants with T2DM for > 5 years and/or on treatment for T2DM, but without known CV, cerebrovascular or peripheral arterial disease were recruited from general practice in 2006–2007. CV risk factors were prevalent: 60% had hypertension, 70% had dyslipidaemia and 56% met American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) sex-specific criteria for LVH. The study found that while NT-proBNP was superior to ECG for the detection of LVH, it was unsuitable as a screening tool for LVH in patients with T2DM. The present study includes 298 patients in whom LV mass, CIMT and CV risk could be assessed.

Estimation of cardiovascular risk

The 5-year absolute risk of CVD events was estimated on the basis of age, sex, smoking, diabetes, BP and total : high-denisty lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol using a Framingham equation. Variable definitions differed from those in the equation in that smoking was defined as being a current smoker only and did not include smoking in the past year, and ECG-defined LVH was not included as it is not routinely used for risk assessment in clinical practice.

To improve calibration of the Framingham equation in the New Zealand population, the New Zealand Guidelines Group (NZGG) recommends that 5% be added to the absolute risk estimate for one of: a family history of premature ischaemic CVD or ischaemic stroke in a first-degree relative, being of a high-risk ethnicity (Maori, Pacific, Indian subcontinent), or having advanced diabetes (of more than 10 years duration or HbA1c consistently greater than 8% or the presence of microalbuminuria). A single adjustment is made for people with more than one of the above criteria. In those with a risk estimate of less than 15%, but with extreme values of cholesterol (total or total : HDL ≥ 8) or BP (≥170 mmHg systolic or 100 mmHg diastolic), the NZGG recommend they be classified as being at 15% risk. The adjustment for ethnicity has subsequently been validated in a review of over 27 000 patients. Low risk is defined as a 5-year absolute risk of a CVD event of less than 5%, intermediate risk is 5–15% and high risk is ≥15%.

Left ventricular hypertrophy

All patients underwent a transthoracic echocardiogram (Philips iE33, Bothell, WA, USA). LV mass was calculated from m-mode images in accordance with the ASE recommendations and indexed to body surface area. LVH was defined by both the ASE (women > 95 g/m2, men > 115 g/m2) and the European Society of Hypertension (ESH) (women > 110 g/m2, men > 125 g/m2) guidelines.

Carotid intima-media thickness

Carotid artery imaging was performed using a Sonosite Micromaxx (Bothell, WA, USA). The CIMT of the far wall of the right distal common carotid artery was manually measured from B-mode images in accordance with ASE recommendations. The reference range used to define abnormality was selected to match the method of measurement used in the study. Therefore, an abnormal CIMT was defined as above the 75th centile of age- and sex-specific ranges developed in the Atherosclerosis Risk in the Community (ARIC) study.

Approach to analysis

The prevalence of LVH and abnormal CIMT across increasing bands of risk were presented visually as bar-plots, with the trend in proportions assessed using linear regression. In those at intermediate risk, the number needed to scan (NNS) to reclassify one person was calculated as the number at risk divided by the number that would be reclassified by one or both of the imaging techniques. The degree of overlap in risk reclassification by the presence of LVH or an abnormal CIMT is presented visually using Venn diagrams. These assessments were...
made in the whole cohort, and after dividing into groups above and below 55 years of age.

The relationship between CIMT and LV mass was assessed using scatterplots and Pearson’s linear correlation coefficient. To assess the practical relevance of the association between CIMT and LV mass, 95% prediction intervals were calculated on the basis of univariable and multiple linear regressions of LV mass on CIMT. In the full model, mass was adjusted for age (years), sex, height (cm), systolic BP (mmHg) and hypertensive treatment (Y/N).

All analyses were performed using R statistical software.26

### Results

Variables required for calculation of risk, LV mass and CIMT were available in 298 subjects and their characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The mean age was 57 years (SD 11) and half were female. Median duration of diabetes was 6 years (interquartile range (IQR) 3.3, 10) and median HbA1c was 7.1% (IQR 6.4, 8.3). Microalbuminuria was present in 25% (n = 74) and macroalbuminuria in 11% (n = 31).

### Whole group

#### Risk

The majority of the study subjects (87%, n = 260) had 5% added to their Framingham risk estimate as recommended by the New Zealand Guidelines. Over two-thirds of these (68%, n = 178) moved up one risk band solely on the basis of their ethnicity, irrespective of the levels of any other factors. The median 5-year risk of a CVD event was 14.3% (IQR 10.3, 19.5). As expected, the mean value of each risk factor increased as risk increased, with those at more than 20% risk being predominantly male, older (mean age 64 years), hypertensive (mean BP 147/84 mmHg) and with elevated total : HDL cholesterol (mean 4.0).

Only four people were at <5% risk. All were white, normotensive, non-smokers, with no family history of premature ischaemic CVD. Three were men aged <50 years, all had total : HDL cholesterol <3.5 and albumin creatinine ratio <0.5.

#### Left ventricular hypertrophy and carotid intima-media thickness

The prevalence of LVH was 51% by ASE criteria and 35% by ESH criteria. As shown in Figure 1a and 1b, the proportion of LVH by either criterion increased with increasing risk (average of 16% per risk band with ASE criteria; 13% per risk band with European Society of Cardiology (ESC) criteria) and the linear trend was significant (P ≤ 0.0001 for both).

The prevalence of an abnormal CIMT was 31% by age- and sex-specific criteria. The median CIMT increased as risk increased (Table 1); however, the proportion of those classified as having an abnormal CIMT by age- and sex-specific criteria decreased with increasing risk (Fig. 1c).

### Table 1  Group characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5-year risk of CVD (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n (%)</td>
<td>298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes duration, years</td>
<td>6.0 (3.3, 11.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c, %</td>
<td>7.1 (6.4, 8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>151 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>57 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP, mmHg</td>
<td>135 (18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP, mmHg</td>
<td>82 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total : HDL</td>
<td>3.6 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>47 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV mass, g</td>
<td>211 (166, 258)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVH by ASE, n (%)</td>
<td>153 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVH by ESH, n (%)</td>
<td>105 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIMT, mm</td>
<td>0.75 (0.65, 0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal CIMT, n (%)</td>
<td>92 (31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean (standard deviation) or median (interquartile range) unless otherwise stated.

ASE, American Society of Echocardiography; CIMT, carotid intima-media thickness; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; ESH, European Society of Hypertension; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; SBP, systolic blood pressure.
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Fifty-two per cent of the group ($n = 154$) were at intermediate risk (5–15%). Of these, 50% were female, mean age 53 years, mean BP 126/79 mmHg, total : HDL cholesterol was 3.4 and 10% were current smokers. LVH was present in 37% by ASE criteria or 22% by ESH criteria, and 36% had an abnormal CIMT.

The distributions of LVH and CIMT in this group are summarized in Figure 2. When LVH is defined using ASE criteria, 22% had LVH only and so would be reclassified to high risk on this basis, 21% would be reclassified on the basis of an abnormal CIMT only and 15% had both conditions. Using both echocardiography and carotid imaging, the NNS to reclassify one person is 1.7. If only one imaging technique is used, the NNS is 2.7 for echo and 2.8 for carotid imaging (however, more than 20% of people would not be reclassified using a single imaging technique).

When LVH is defined using ESC criteria, 12% would be reclassified to high risk on the basis of LVH only, 26% on the basis of an abnormal CIMT only and 10% had both conditions. Using both imaging modalities, the NNS is 2.1, with echo only is 4.5 and with carotid imaging only is 2.8. Imaging with a single technique will not reclassify 26% and 12% respectively.

**Effect of age**

Age influences both CIMT and estimates of risk; therefore, the correlation between CIMT and risk is attenuated after adjustment for age, particularly in the higher risk bands. Figure 3 shows the effect of dividing the group at a nominal threshold of 55 years. In those aged less than 55 years, the prevalence of abnormal CIMT in people at intermediate (5–15%) risk is almost twice that of those aged over 55 years (46% vs 24%).

LV mass is not correlated to age and so is not adjusted for age when categorized to LVH. In those at intermediate risk, there is a greater difference in the proportion of LVH above and below 55 years using ASE than ESC criteria (Fig. 3).
Left ventricular mass and carotid intima-media thickness

The relationship between LV mass and CIMT is shown in Figure 4. The linear correlation coefficient (r) is 0.22 (95% CI 0.11, 0.33). The correlation is significantly different from zero (P = 0.0001); however, the 95% prediction intervals for LV mass are extremely wide over the range of CIMT values, with little difference after adjusting CIMT for age, sex, height, systolic BP and hypertensive treatment (Fig. 4b). A CIMT of 0.63 mm will predict mass as being from 72 to 338 g (univariate) or 92 to 322 g (multivariate). Similarly, a CIMT of 0.98 mm will predict mass of 101 to 368 g (univariate) or 117 to 347 g (multivariate).

Discussion

In this study of a community cohort of people with T2DM and without symptomatic CVD, over half were at intermediate risk of a CV event in the next 5 years based on standard risk factors. Despite these patients having...
T2DM, there is uncertainty in CV and diabetes guidelines about how to manage CV risk. Consequently, improvement in risk prediction, especially among those in the intermediate-risk group, may provide more certainty on which to base appropriate management interventions. The results from the current study demonstrate that a combined imaging strategy, with cardiac and vascular imaging, may reclassify risk among those within the intermediate-risk group.

As both CIMT and LVH are markers of subclinical disease and are associated with CV events, it is relevant to consider the use of imaging techniques to augment risk prediction, especially in those at intermediate risk. Disease can occur in any part of the vascular system and so manifests as different events. Differing event rates and individual propensity to stroke, myocardial infarction (MI), or peripheral arterial disease are consistent with different mechanisms underlying the spectrum of CVD, and it would be unreasonable to expect one technique to identify all events for reclassification. Recent data support this concept; for example, the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis found that coronary artery calcium (CAC) score was more predictive of CHD and CVD compared with CIMT, which was more predictive of stroke, and recent genetic studies have identified a locus on chromosome 9p that is associated with MI and CAD, and with CAC score, but not with CIMT.

**Distribution of subclinical disease across risk categories**

In the current study, the prevalence of LVH within each risk band increased with increasing risk. Importantly, LVH was present at all levels of risk, and at least 20% of the group at intermediate risk would be reclassified to high risk on this basis. Conversely, using age- and sex-specific thresholds, the prevalence of an abnormal CIMT did not increase with increasing risk and may even decrease. Yet, unadjusted CIMT has been shown in this study and others to increase with increasing risk. This relationship appears to be driven by the confounding effect of age: when the effect of age is removed (by categorizing to age-specific ranges), the relationship between CIMT and risk is attenuated. This is in contrast to LV mass, which is not affected by age, and in which the positive relationship with risk persists after categorization.

The effect of age is confirmed when stratifying the current data into two age bands, that is, above and below 55 years. The low prevalence of abnormal CIMT per risk band in those over 55 years shows that few people in this group would have risk status changed on the basis of an abnormal CIMT; however, LVH is prevalent and could be used. At least half of those <55 years have an abnormal CIMT, across all risk groups, suggesting that CIMT may improve risk stratification in younger people. One potential limitation though is that the reference values for CIMT are mainly derived from patients aged >45 years, and thus the utility of CIMT in patients <45 years is uncertain.

**Intermediate risk**

Abnormal CIMT and LVH are found in a similar proportion of patients in the intermediate-risk group, although these are not the same individuals. Less than a quarter of those with either an abnormal CIMT or LVH have both abnormalities. The lack of overlap of the two conditions may represent different aspects of the risk profile of T2DM, or may represent different stages of disease development.

**Reclassification of risk**

The combined high prevalence of LVH and an abnormal CIMT in those at intermediate risk meant that the NNS to reclassify risk was very low (NNS = 1.7). Although 21–22% of people will not be reclassified if only one imaging technique is used (using ASE criteria), the NNS is still 2.8 with CIMT, and 2.7 with echocardiography. Incorporation of cardiac and/or carotid imaging in risk assessment requires consideration of the extra time and cost of these procedures, as well as the availability of technology and suitably qualified personnel. A targeted approach of measuring LV mass and CIMT in a diabetic clinic, for example, would minimize these costs. Although relatively low, the inter- and intra-individual variability of image acquisition and measurement are important considerations for use in individual patients. Automated border detection for measurement of CIMT is recommended to limit variability in measurement, although this is not consistently used.

**Correlation between left ventricular hypertrophy and carotid intima-media thickness**

CIMT is associated with LV mass although the linear correlation ($r$) between them is weak, especially in non-hypertensive subjects. Consistent with previous studies, we found the correlation coefficient to be significantly different from zero ($P = 0.0001$); however, the low value of the coefficient ($r = 0.22$) and wide prediction intervals show that it is not a clinically significant relationship. The lack of association between CIMT and LV mass, however, supports the principle that the two approaches assess different aspects of subclinical disease,
and that use of both may improve the estimation of CV risk.

**Limitations**

Adjustments to the risk estimate that are currently recommended in New Zealand Guidelines had a significant effect on the distribution of risk in this study. Eighty-seven per cent of the cohort had their risk estimate adjusted upwards, two-thirds of which was due to being of a high-risk ethnicity regardless of any other reason for adjustment. Subsequent studies have shown that although the ethnicity adjustment is suitable, adjusting for family history is not.20 This may be due to a confounding effect between family history and ethnicity, which may also confound with the adjustments for advanced diabetes; however, these have not been addressed. Consequently, we cannot confirm the validity of non-ethnic adjustments.

The reference range used to define an abnormal CIMT impacts on the prevalence of disease. The thresholds used in this study were those developed from the ARIC cohort (imaged in 1987–1989).24 Older imaging systems will generally have poorer resolution than current systems, thus CIMT values measured now may be lower than those imaged on an older machine. Subsequently, the amount of abnormal CIMT in current images may be underestimated by using reference ranges formulated using older technology.21 This study included people of ethnicities at increased CV risk; therefore, thresholds of abnormal CIMT established in the ARIC cohort may not be optimal. However, in the absence of a local reference range being available, a range recommended by the ASE was used.

Of the range of imaging measurements that are available, LVH and CIMT were selected as they have a proven association with CV risk. The accuracy and reproducibility of echocardiographic LV mass may be less than that of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging (MRI); however, MRI is not widely available and would cost more than echocardiography in a population such as this.

**Conclusion**

Accurate risk stratification for patients with T2DM is important to assist with decisions regarding preventative interventions; yet, standard risk assessment is suboptimal in this group. Cardiac and vascular imaging, to detect subclinical disease, can be used to augment risk prediction. The value of such imaging is likely to be greatest among those at intermediate risk in whom management may be less clear. Detection of abnormal CIMT and the presence of LVH would reclassify up to 58% of patients at intermediate risk to high risk, but the value of reclassifying risk is as yet unproven, and there remains a need for outcome data from appropriately designed intervention studies demonstrating that treatment interventions will improve outcome.
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